(93)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH: HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, CJ.

WRIT PETITION No.1202 OF 2002

PETITIONERS:

1. Jain-Ul-Hasan, Aged about 60 years, S/o Shri Abdul Hasan, R/o Village: Telaidhar, Tehsil: Sitapur, Dist. Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
2. Deep Narayan Gupta, Aged about 40 years, S/o Late Shri Ramdhani Sao, R/o Village: Ghuturma, Tehsil: Sitapur, District: Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
3. Ram Avtar Gupta, Aged about 55 years, S/o Late Shri Rooplal Sao, R/o Village: Ghuturma, Tehsil: Sitapur, Dist. Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
4. Anwar Hussain, Aged about 55 years, S/o Md. Hussain, R/o Village: Telaidhar, Tehsil: Sitapur, District: Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
5. Timan Ram, S/o Shri Ramnath Kanwar, R/o Village: Ghuturma, Tehsil: Sitapur, Dist. Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
6. Deo Narayan Gupta, Aged about 45 years, S/o Ramdhani Sao, R/o Village: Ghuturma, Tehsil: Sitapur, Dist. Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
7. Deodhari Prasad Gupta, Aged about 41 years, S/o Shri Laxmi Narayan, R/o Village: Ghuturma, Tehsil: Sitapur, Dist. Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
8. Ganesh Prasad Soni, Aged about 40 years, S/o Kailash Soni, R/o Village: Vandana, Tehsil: Sitapur, Dist. Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

(94)

2. State Agricultural Marketing Board, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Sitapur, District: Surguja (C.G.)

4. Collector, Surguja, District: Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

5. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Sitapur, District: Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

6. Chhattisgarh State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Through its District Manager, Ambikapur, District: Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

7. State Bank of India, Branch: Batauli, District: Surguja, Through its Branch Manager.

8. Shri C.L. Banjare, S.D.O. (Rev.), Retired, C/o Collector, Surguja at Ambikapur, District: Surguja (C.G.)

Present: Mr. Sameer Behar, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1, 4 & 5.

Mr. Vimlesh Bajpai, counsel for respondent No.6.

Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Mr. Qamrul Aziz, Advocate for respondent No.7.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 9th March, 2007)

As on today, in my opinion, the relief sought for in this writ petition does not survive for consideration of this Court. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is also of the same opinion.

2. In that view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice